WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMER
-----------------------

uillermo Mora-Flores	Case Number:	11-05506M-001
the Beil Deform Act 1911 C.C. \$ 214		
		was held on April 5, 2011. Defendant was present the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
F	NDINGS OF FACT	
derance of the evidence that:		
he defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	ted States or lawfully ad	dmitted for permanent residence.
he defendant, at the time of the charg	ed offense, was in the U	Inited States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
he defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	Inited States from which	n he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
he defendant has a prior criminal histo	ry.	
he defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
he defendant is an amnesty applicar ubstantial family ties to Mexico.	t but has no substantia	Il ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
here is a record of prior failure to app	ar in court as ordered.	
he defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.
he defendant is facing a maximum of	<u></u>	years imprisonment.
	derance of the evidence that:  he defendant is not a citizen of the Unithe defendant, at the time of the charge released herein, the defendant factoristic forcement, placing him/her beyond the otherwise removed.  he defendant has no significant contact he defendant has no resources in the lassure his/her future appearance.  he defendant has a prior criminal historistic he defendant lives/works in Mexico.  he defendant is an amnesty applicant ubstantial family ties to Mexico.  here is a record of prior failure to appear he defendant attempted to evade law of the defendant is facing a maximum of the defendant is facing a maximum of the defendant is facing a maximum of the defendant by reference the material	FINDINGS OF FACT derance of the evidence that:  he defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully ache defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the Uniter released herein, the defendant faces removal proceeding inforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Cour otherwise removed.  he defendant has no significant contacts in the United States the defendant has no resources in the United States from which assure his/her future appearance.  he defendant has a prior criminal history.  he defendant lives/works in Mexico.  he defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantia

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

## **DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: April 5, 2011

JAY R. IRWIN ted States Magistrate Judge